



System Framework: Quick Start Guide

Purpose

The **System Framework**: **Quick Start Guide** is designed to help state leaders scan their system and determine which component(s) of the **System Framework** might be most helpful for in-depth assessment and improvement planning. Ideally, state leaders would conduct an in-depth review of all components of their system with stakeholder involvement. However, with limited time, state leaders can use this guide to help identify a starting point.

Using the Quick Start Guide

To get started, respond Yes, No or Not Sure to each of the questions listed below. If you don't understand the question, you can learn more by going to the quality indicator(s) of the component associated with the question. The link for each component is provided. The Notes field is provided for you to capture key information regarding your state context in relation to questions posed for each component.

Note: This is a fillable PDF form. If your PDF reader software supports the feature, you can mark checkboxes and type directly in the notes fields. **Be sure to save your changes to this file when you are finished working!**

After responding to all the questions, look across your answers to help determine which component(s) might need more in-depth assessment. There is no right or wrong way to use the data. You may choose to focus on areas where your state has higher concentrations of items marked No or Not Sure. Alternatively, you may choose to build on the momentum of a component where you have experienced progress and would like to pursue a higher level of quality. Given your state context, certain factors such as input from stakeholders or priorities of state leadership may be critical to consider when determining where to start. Once you have identified a starting point, the Guidance for Using the ECTA/DaSy Framework Self-Assessment and Self-Assessment Workbook are available with additional guidance on the process.

The ECTA Center is a program of the FPG Child Development Institute of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, funded through cooperative agreement number H326P170001 from the Office of Special Education Programs, U.S. Department of Education. Opinions expressed herein do not necessarily represent the Department of Education's position or policy.

Project Officer: Julia Martin Eile





	State:			
F	Program:			
	Date:			
~ -				
	overnance			
nttp	://ectacenter.org/sysframe/component-governance.asp			
5	Subcomponent(s)	Yes	No	Not Sure
1.	Do we use our vision , mission and/or purpose statements to serve as a foundation for guiding our statewide system? (GV1)			
2.	Do our state and local statutes , regulations , agreements and polices provide clear authority and direction for implementation of our statewide system? (GV2)			
3.	Do our administrative structures maximize family engagement and effectively support statewide implementation of services? (GV3-5)			
4.	Does our current leadership advocate for appropriate resources and effectively plan, communicate and collaborate to drive ongoing system improvement? (GV6-8)			
Not	es:			

Finance

http://ectacenter.org/sysframe/component-finance.asp

,	Subcomponent(s)	Yes	No	Not Sure
1.	Do we conduct strategic finance planning and forecasting to meet program infrastructure and service delivery needs? (FN1-FN2)			
2.	Do we access and use fiscal data for planning, budget development and management, and reporting? (FN3-4)			
3.	Do we secure funds and resources to meet the needs of the system? (FN5-6)			
4.	Do we allocate , use , and disburse funds in a timely and allowable manner to meet the needs of the system? (FN7-9)			
5.	Do we regularly monitor funds and resources to ensure that spending is in compliance with federal, state and local requirements? (FN10)			
Not	es:			

Accountability & Quality Improvement

http://ectacenter.org/sysframe/component-accountability.asp

Subcomponent(s)	Yes	No	Not Sure
Does our plan for accountability and quality improvement address all levels of the system statewide? (AC1-2)			
Do we collect and analyze performance data to determine the current status of our system and services? (AC3-4)			
Do we use data for continuous improvement of our system and to inform practices? (AC5-7)			
es:			
	(AC1-2) Do we collect and analyze performance data to determine the current status of our system and services? (AC3-4) Do we use data for continuous improvement of our	Does our plan for accountability and quality improvement address all levels of the system statewide? (AC1-2) Do we collect and analyze performance data to determine the current status of our system and services? (AC3-4) Do we use data for continuous improvement of our system and to inform practices? (AC5-7)	Does our plan for accountability and quality improvement address all levels of the system statewide? (AC1-2) Do we collect and analyze performance data to determine the current status of our system and services? (AC3-4) Do we use data for continuous improvement of our system and to inform practices? (AC5-7)

Personnel/Workforce

http://ectacenter.org/sysframe/component-personnel.asp

,	Subcomponent(s)	Yes	No	Not Sure		
1.	Does our leadership team provide direction for our state's comprehensive system of personnel development? (PN1-2)					
2.	Do we have state personnel standards across disciplines that are aligned to national standards? (PN3-4)					
3.	Do our institutions of higher education provide preservice personnel development that effectively prepare individuals for the workforce? (PN5-6)					
4.	Do we provide effective inservice personnel development and technical assistance to support a high quality workforce? (PN7-8)					
5.	Do we recruit and retain a high quality workforce? (PN9-10)					
6.	Do we use data to evaluate our comprehensive system of personnel development and make improvements? (PN11-12)					
Not	Notes:					

Data System

http://ectacenter.org/sysframe/component-datasystem.asp

5	Subcomponent(s)	Yes	No	Not Sure
1.	Do we have a clear purpose , vision and goals for our data system? (PV1-2)			
2.	Do we have clear data governance and management structures that support effective decision-making, quality data, secure and appropriate access and use of data? (DG1-8)			
3.	Do we engage stakeholders in the development of the data system and the use of data? (SE1-4)			
4.	Is our state planning to enhance or build a new state data system? If not, skip to the next question. If yes, are we familiar with best practices in initiating system requirement analyses, system design and development, and system acceptance and deployment with the active involvement of Part C/619 staff? (SD1-9)			
5.	Do we plan , analyze , and use data to inform decisions and meet the needs of state and local systems? (DU1-6)			
6.	Do we enhance and maintain our data system to ensure long-term sustainability ? (SU1-2)			
Not	es:			

Quality Standards

http://ectacenter.org/sysframe/component-qualitystandards.asp

;	Subcomponent(s)	Yes	No	Not Sure			
1.	Do we effectively use child level standards to support the implementation of quality practices? (QS1-3)						
2.	Do we effectively use program level standards to support the implementation of quality programs? (QS4-6)						
Not	Notes:						