

Compliance & Monitoring Observation

ELIGIBILITY

Provider:	Program:	Date:			
Child Name: (First name, last initial)	Referred by:	Location:	- -		
		☐ Home ☐	☐ Program	Office	
Service Team:	—				
☐ Svc Coordinator:	□ PT/OT/SLP:	☐ Interpret	er:		
☐ Dev Specialist:	☐ RN/Social Work:	☐ Other:			
BEFORE Eligibility Evaluati	on				
1. Family received prior written notice BEFORE the evaluation took place.			☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
2. Family received all paperwork/forms in their preferred language.			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
3. Family gave signed consent BE	FORE the evaluation began.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
DURING Eligibility Evaluation					
1. The team included professionals from appropriate disciplines to address the stated			☐ Yes	□No	□ N/A
concern.					
	ocess and asked the family about their con	cerns.	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	multidisciplinary evaluation tool.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	ation in the family's preferred language.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	ily to collect a case history on the child.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
The team gathered info from a variety of sources (parents, doctors, etc.) to understand the child's unique strengths and needs.			□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
7. The team evaluated the child's abilities in ALL developmental domains.			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
8. The team involved the family in the evaluation process.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
 The team began developing a positive relationship with the family by asking questions and facilitating conversations. 			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
10. The team reviewed the evaluation results with the family.			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
11. The team clearly explained to the family why the child eligible/ineligible for services.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
12. IF the child was found eligible, the team:		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
 Used a family assessment to identify the family's concerns, priorities, resources. 					
 Scheduled an IFSP meeting 					
13. The team documented the vis	t in BTOTS.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
Notes:					

12/15/2017



Compliance & Monitoring Observation

IFSP MEETING

Provider:	Program:	Date:				
Child ID:	Child Name: (First, last initial)	Location:				
Service Team: ☐ Svc Coordinator: ☐ Dev Specialist:	☐ PT/OT/SLP: ☐ RN/Social Work:	☐ Interpre	ter:			
BEFORE IFSP Meeting						
Parents received prior written notice BEFORE the IFSP meeting took place.			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
	forms in their preferred language.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
The IFSP team included profession	onals from appropriate disciplines to a	Idress the	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
stated concern.	mais from appropriate disciplines to a	idi C33 tiic	<u> П гез</u>	□ 1 10	□ N/A	
	cess and the family was able to ask qu	estions.	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
	co-face at a convenient time/place for		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
4. The team conducted the IFSP me	eting in the family's preferred languag	e, and	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
showed cultural competence.						
5. The team used reflective listening to develop relationships with the family.			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
•	that was not overwhelming for the far	nily.	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
7. The team listened to the family's input.			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
8. The family appeared to feel comfortable during the IFSP meeting.			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
9. The team wrote IFSP outcomes that reflected the family's priorities.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A		
10. The team reviewed Parent Rights BEFORE asking the family to sign the IFSP.		FSP.	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
The final IFSP included:	Подът					
☐ Service initiation and duration dates ☐ Other service info, as a			-	ofo ocon	nronrioto	
_		•	transition info, as appropriate			
☐ Family concerns, priorities, resources ☐ Family fee payment ar ☐ Measurable, jargon-free, routines-based, ☐ Names and signatures			_			
functional family outcomes		-		4000		
☐ Service types, minutes, frequency, intensity,						
and location customized per IFS						
Notes:						

12/15/2017



Compliance & Monitoring Observation

HOME VISIT

Provider:	Program:	Date:			
Child ID:	Child Name: (First, last initial)	Location: ☐ Home ☐ Other			
Service Team:		1			
☐ Svc Coordinator:	☐ PT/OT/SLP:	☐ Interprete	er:		
☐ Dev Specialist:	☐ RN/Social Work:	☐ Other:			
BEGINNING of Home Visit					
1. Provider greeted the family, and clearly stated the purpose of the visit.			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
2. Provider asked open-ended questions re: effectiveness of current routines/activities.			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
3. Provider asked the parent if they had new issues, challenges, concerns to discuss.			☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
4. Provider/parent discussed what we	ent well, what to continue, what to chan	ge.	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
DURING Home Visit					
1. Provider followed the parent's and	child's lead, and encouraged family invo	olvement.	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
2. Provider was able to naturally join	in family activities and routines.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
3. Provider created developmentally a	appropriate learning opportunities for th	ne child.	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
4. Provider used adult learning princip	oles to share information with parents/f	amily.	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
5. Provider demonstrated child-cente	red activities, described what they were	doing, and	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
showed the parent how to join in a	nd follow the child's lead.				
6. Provider gave the parent ongoing for	eedback during parent-child interaction	S.	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
7. Provider observed and discussed th	ne parent-child interactions with the par	ent.	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
8. Provider used reflective listening to make suggestions for new activities/outcomes.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
9. Provider made recommendations to include child in family and community life.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
10. Provider and parent discussed the family's concerns, priorities and resources.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
20. Frovider and parent discussed the family 5 concerns, priorities and resources.					,
END of Home Visit					
	and what will happen between visits.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
2. Provider discussed the steps/actions to be taken before the next visit.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
3. Provider suggested appropriate adaptations to toys/materials/home environment.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
4. Provider/family practiced embedding new strategies/activities into daily routines.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	
•	ent of other team members (co-visits, e	•	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	e to ask questions and discuss priorities.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
7. Provider and family developed a plant	an for the next visit.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
8. Provider confirmed the date and til	me of the next visit with the family.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
9. Provider documented the visit in B	TOTS.		☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
Notes:					

12/15/2017 3